



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

CHARLES W. STEWART  
SHELL OIL COMPANY  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES  
P.O. BOX 2463  
HOUSTON, TX 77252-2463

**COPY MAILED**

MAY 20 2005

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Peter Ingraham Chipman et al :  
Application No. 10/789,052 :  
Filed: February 27, 2004 :  
Attorney Docket No. TH-2429 (US) :

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed November 12, 2004, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6), to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional application set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

Along with the instant petition under 37 CFR § 1.76(a)(6), petitioner has submitted an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to the prior-filed application.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on February 27, 2004, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. While a reference to the prior-filed application was not included in an ADS or in the first sentence of the specification following the title, reference nevertheless was made in the transmittal letter filed with the above-identified application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(5)(ii). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application,

a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6).<sup>1</sup> In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the prior-filed application in the declaration filed with the application, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt.

In view of the above, the \$1370 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

Any questions concerning this decision on petition may be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Examiner of Technology Center AU 1625 for appropriate action on the amendment filed November 12, 2004.



Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
For Patent Examination Policy

---

<sup>1</sup> Note MPEP 201.11 (III)(D) , pages 200-59 and 200-60 (Rev. 2. May 2004) and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.